#:998

Filed 02/05/25 Page 1 of 9 Page ID

ase 2:25-cv-00053-FMO-BFM Document 38-2

PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY PLAN

3

I. Introduction

Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Marc Wolstenholme submits this proposed Discovery Plan in anticipation of the Rule 26(f) Conference scheduled for February 13, 2025. This document outlines the scope, timing, and subject matter of discovery necessary for this case.

9

10

11

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

Plaintiff has already submitted pre-litigation discovery requests and now seeks formal compliance from Defendant Riot Games, Inc.

13

14

12

II. Initial Disclosures (Rule 26(a))

15 16

February 20, 2025, including: 17

18

19

A complete list of individuals likely to have discoverable information relevant to the case.

20 21

A full list of documents, electronically stored information (ESI), and tangible things that may be used to support or defend the claims.

22 23

A comprehensive damages computation, along with supporting evidence.

Plaintiff requests that both parties exchange initial disclosures no later than

25

24

Any insurance agreements relevant to potential judgments or settlements.

26 27

28

1 Defendant Riot Games, Inc. must provide its initial disclosures as required under 2 Rule 26(a)(1) without delay. 3 4 III. Scope of Discovery 5 Plaintiff seeks full discovery of the following: 6 7 8 A. Development and Production of Arcane 9 Complete timeline & documentation related to the development of Arcane from 10 inception to release. 11 Board meeting minutes approving Arcane's production and budget. 12 13 All internal communications discussing the concept, scriptwriting, and adaptation 14 process. 15 Drafts, storyboards, design documents, and concept art leading to Arcane's final 16 form. 17 All Riot Games internal memos, Slack messages, or emails discussing Arcane. 18 19 20 B. Copyright and Ownership Evidence 21 Complete list of all Riot Forge submissions, including Plaintiff's Bloodborg 22 submissions (April 15 & 19, 2020). 23 Records of Riot Games' internal discussions about adapting submissions received 24 25 through Riot Forge. 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR REMOTE APPEARANCE AT CASE MANAGEMENT HEARING:

1	Metadata & logs showing which Riot employees accessed or reviewed Plaintiff's		
2	work.		
3	Contracts and agreements with external writers, agencies, or consultants involved		
4	in Arcane.		
5			
6	C. Involvement of Talent Agencies & External Parties		
7	All communications between Riot Games & external agencies (Curtis Brown		
8	Group, UTA, Netflix, etc.).		
9	Complete list of Arcane voice actors, including agency representation, casting		
10	decisions, and contracts.		
12	Financial records & payments to talent agencies, including receipts.		
13	NDAs signed by cast members regarding Arcane's storyline and production		
4	details.		
15	D. Digital Evidence & Metadata Requests		
16	Full record of email addresses & devices (including metadata logs) used to access		
17 18	or edit Arcane-related files.		
10	Internal Riot Games server logs related to Arcane's development.		
20	Project folders, drafts, & notes pinned in Riot Games HQ & Fortiche writing		
21	Project folders, drafts, & notes pinned in Riot Games HQ & Fortiche writing		
22	rooms.		
23	E. Financial & Tax Information		
24	Full production budget & financial breakdown for Arcane.		
25	Records of tax breaks, subsidies, or external investments related to Arcane.		
26	Riot Games employee NDAs, contracts, & agreements for the Arcane project.		
27	A		
28	4 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR REMOTE APPEARANCE AT CASE MANAGEMENT HEARING:		
- 1			

¢	ase 2:25-cv-	00053-FMO-BFM Document 38-2 Filed 02/05/25 Page 5 of 9 Page ID #:1002	
1 2		Itemized list of expenditures tied to talent recruitment & agency involvement.	
3		F. Pre-Litigation Conduct & Bad Faith Actions	
5		Internal Riot Games communications discussing Plaintiff's claims or legal	
6	strategy.		
7		Documents showing Riot's refusal to engage in good faith pre-litigation	
8	discovery.		
9 10		Copies of communications threatening dismissal of the case instead of engaging	
11	with claims.		
12		Internal Riot Games legal discussions on suppressing evidence or frustrating	
13	Plaintiff's leg	gal efforts.	
14 15			
16	G. Harassment, Hate Mail, & Workplace Culture		
17			
18		Reports or internal investigations into hate mail received by Plaintiff.	
19		All Riot Games internal discussions about harassment, toxicity, or cultural issues	
20	related to Arcane's production.		
21			
22 23		IV. ESI (Electronically Stored Information) Protocol	
24		All discovery materials must be produced electronically in native format where	
25	possible.		
26			
27			
28		5	
	PLAI	NTIFF'S REQUEST FOR REMOTE APPEARANCE AT CASE MANAGEMENT HEARING:	

1 Metadata must be preserved and disclosed, including timestamps, document 2 revisions, and sender/recipient data. 3 Riot Games must produce Slack messages, emails, and other internal 4 communications without redactions unless privileged. 5 If Riot Games claims privilege over any documents, a privilege log must be 6 7 provided, detailing: 8 The basis for the privilege claim. 9 A description of the document withheld. 10 The individuals involved. 11 12 13 V. Discovery Deadlines & Schedule 14 **Discovery Event Proposed Deadline** 15 February 20, 2025 Exchange of Initial Disclosures 16 Completion of Written Discovery May 1, 2025 17 Completion of Document Production June 15, 2025 18 19 Completion of Depositions July 15, 2025 20 Expert Discovery Cutoff August 1, 2025 21 Close of Fact Discovery August 15, 2025 22 Dispositive Motions Due September 1, 2025 23 Pretrial Conference October 2025 24 25 Plaintiff proposes a six-month discovery period, allowing for additional time if 26 Defendant delays production. 27 28

1	v1. Anticipated Discovery Disputes and Sen notes
2	Plaintiff anticipates the following potential discovery disputes:
3	3
Riot Games may refuse to produce internal communications &	
	Riot Games may refuse to produce internal communications & metadata.
6	
7	Solution: Motion to Compel (FRCP 37).
8	
9	Riot Games may attempt to limit financial discovery.
10	refer Games may attempt to immerimational discovery.
11	
12	Solution: Requesting full revenue breakdown under damages assessment.
13	
14	Riot Games may claim privilege over key documents.
15	
16	
Solution: Demand a privilege log & seek in-camera review if no	Solution: Demand a privilege log & seek in-camera review if necessary.
18	
19	Delays in witness availability.
20	
21	Solution: Request court assistance in securing depositions.
22	Solution. Request court assistance in securing depositions.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	7

1 VII. Protective Order & Confidentiality Agreements 2 3 If Riot Games seeks a protective order, Plaintiff requests that: 4 5 Documents must not be marked confidential unless necessary. 6 7 Plaintiff retains the right to challenge confidentiality designations. 8 Confidentiality must not prevent key discovery disclosures. 9 10 11 VIII. Conclusion 12 13 This Discovery Plan ensures that Plaintiff obtains all necessary evidence to prove: 14 Copyright infringement. 15 Financial damages. 16 Riot Games' internal knowledge & misconduct. 17 Wider industry concerns. 18 19 The Plaintiff seeks a respond, comply, amend or refuse answer on every point of 20 his checklist and other outstanding discovery questions. 21 22 Plaintiff reserves the right to modify this Discovery Plan based on new 23 24 information or discovery disputes. 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR REMOTE APPEARANCE AT CASE MANAGEMENT HEARING:

The Plaintiff, Marc Wolstenholme, M.W. Wolf.

Date: February 5, 2025

Signed: W.WOLSTWHOLMC.